PATENT

Atty. Dkt. No. ROC920000014US1

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel claims 5, 14 and 23, and amend the claims as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) A method for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method comprising:

allowing a user to replace each problem word contained in the document with a respective replacement word; and

storing each <u>user-replaced</u> problem word and respective replacement word to a first data structure, wherein each <u>user-replaced</u> problem word is associated with the respective replacement word <u>in an individual record of the first data structure</u>.

- 2. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising accessing the first data structure to identify problem words in another document.
- 3. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising: prior to the step of allowing, recording contents of the document as pre-edited contents;

subsequent to the step of allowing and prior to the step of storing, recording the contents of the document as post-edited contents; and

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited content to identify the problem words and the replacement words.

- 4. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 3, wherein the steps of recording comprise separately storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field, a post-edited word field and a changed indication fie.
- 5. (Cancel)

Page 2





- The method of claim 1, further comprising assigning a priority value 6. (Original) to each problem word.
- The method of claim 6, wherein the priority value is determined **7**. (Original) according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.
- 8. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.
- 9. The method of claim 8, wherein the formatting definition is selected (Original) from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.
- 10. (Currently Amended) A computer readable medium containing a software program which, when executed by a processor, causes the processor to perform a method for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method comprising:

allowing a user to replace each problem word contained in the document with a respective replacement word; and

storing the user-replaced problem words and replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each user-replaced problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure.

- 11. (Currently Amended) The computer readable medium of claim 10, further comprising accessing the first data structure to identify problem words in another document.
- 12. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 10, further comprising: prior to the step of allowing, recording contents of the document as pre-edited contents;

Page 3





contents of the document as post-edited contents; and

Atty. Dkt. No. ROC920000014US1

subsequent to the step of allowing and prior to the step of storing, recording the

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited content to identify the problem words and the replacement words.

13. (Currently Amended) The computer readable medium of claim 12, wherein the steps of recording comprise <u>separately</u> storing the pre-edited contents and postedited contents to a second data structure, <u>wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field, a post-edited word field and a changed indication fie.</u>

14. (Cancel)

- 15. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 10, further comprising assigning a priority value to each problem word.
- 16. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 15, wherein the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.
- 17. (Currently Amended) The computer readable medium of claim 10 <u>further comprising</u>, assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.
- 18. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 17, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.
- 19. (Currently Amended) A computer comprising a memory device, a processor configured to access the memory device and configure to execute a method for

Page 4

253472 1

providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method comprising:

allowing a user to replace each problem word contained in the document with a respective replacement word; and

storing the <u>user-replaced</u> problem words and replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each <u>user-replaced</u> problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure.

- 20. (Currently Amended) The computer of claim 19, further comprising accessing the first data structure to identify problem words in another document.
- 21. (Original) The computer of claim 19, further comprising:

 prior to the step of allowing, recording contents of the document as pre-edited contents:

subsequent to the step of allowing and prior to the step of storing, recording the contents of the document as post-edited contents; and

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited content to identify the problem words and the replacement words.

- 22. (Currently Amended) The computer of claim 21, wherein the steps of recording comprise <u>separately</u> storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field, a post-edited word field and a changed indication fie.
- 23. (Canceled) The computer of claim 22, wherein the first data structure and the second data structure are the same.
- 24. (Original) The computer of claim 19, further comprising assigning a priority value to each problem word.





Atty. Dkt. No. ROC920000014US1

- 25. (Original) The computer of claim 24, wherein the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.
- 26. (Currently Amended) The computer of claim 19 <u>further comprising</u>, assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.
- 27. (Original) The computer of claim 26, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.

Please add the following claims:

- 28. (New) The method of claim 1, further comprising: receiving a user identification; and storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.
- 29. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 10, further comprising: receiving a user identification; and storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.
- 30. (New) The computer of claim 19, further comprising: receiving a user identification; and storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.

